

Michele R. Stafford, Esq. (SBN 172509)
George R. Nemiroff (SBN 262058)
SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION
44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2110
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 882-7900
Facsimile: (415) 882-9287
Email: mstafford@sjlawcorp.com
Email: gnemiroff@sjlawcorp.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Operating Engineers'
Health And Welfare Trust Fund for Northern California, et al.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OPERATING ENGINEERS' HEALTH AND
WELFARE TRUST FUND FOR NORTHERN
CALIFORNIA; RUSSELL E. BURNS and
JAMES E. MURRAY, Trustees;

PENSION TRUST FUND FOR OPERATING
ENGINEERS; RUSSELL E. BURNS and JAMES
E. MURRAY, Trustees;

PENSIONED OPERATING ENGINEERS'
HEALTH AND WELFARE TRUST FUND;

OPERATING ENGINEERS AND
PARTICIPATING EMPLOYERS PRE-
APPRENTICE, APPRENTICE AND
JOURNEYMEN AFFIRMATIVE ACTION
TRAINING FUND; RUSSELL E. BURNS and
JAMES E. MURRAY, Trustees;

OPERATING ENGINEERS LOCAL UNION NO.
3 VACATION, HOLIDAY AND SICK PAY
TRUST FUND; RUSSELL E. BURNS and
JAMES E. MURRAY, Trustees;

HEAVY AND HIGHWAY COMMITTEE; and

OPERATING ENGINEERS LOCAL 3 OF THE
INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING
ENGINEERS, AFL-CIO,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BRENEMAN, INC., a California Corporation; and

Case No.

COMPLAINT

JAMES G. BRENNEMAN, an individual,
Defendants.

Parties

1. The Operating Engineers' Health and Welfare Trust Fund for Northern California (which includes the Addiction Recovery Program, Inc.) ("Health Fund"); Pension Trust Fund for Operating Engineers (which includes the Pension Plan for the Pension Trust Fund for Operating Engineers, the Rehabilitation Plan, and the Operating Engineers Annuity Plan) ("Pension Plan"); Pensioned Operating Engineers' Health and Welfare Trust Fund ("Pensioned Health Fund"); Operating Engineers and Participating Employers Pre-apprentice, Apprentice and Journeymen Affirmative Action Training Fund ("Affirmative Action Training Fund"); and the Operating Engineers Local Union No. 3 Vacation, Holiday and Sick Pay Trust Fund ("Vacation Fund") (collectively referred to hereinafter as the "Trust Funds"), are employee benefit plans as defined in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA") § 3(3), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(3). Russell E. Burns and James E. Murray are Co-Chairmen of the Joint Boards of Trustees of the Health Fund, Pension Fund, Pensioned Health Fund, and Affirmative Action Training Fund and have authority to act on behalf of all Trustees of those Funds. Russell E. Burns and James E. Murray are Co-Chairmen of the Joint Boards of Trustees of the Vacation Fund and have authority to act on behalf of all Trustees of the Vacation Fund. The Trust Funds and their fiduciaries are together referred to herein as "ERISA Plaintiffs" or "Plaintiffs."

2. The Heavy and Highway Committee is a Trust established under the Labor Management Relations Act ("LMRA"), 302(c)(9), 29 U.S.C. § 186(c)(9).

3. Operating Engineers Local Union No. 3 of the International Union of Operating Engineers, AFL-CIO ("Union") is a labor organization as defined in § 2(5) of the National Labor Relations Act ("NLRA"), 29 U.S.C. § 152(5), and is represented by counsel herein for the limited purpose of collecting union dues owing as part of the subject contribution claims of Plaintiffs, and not for any other cause of action. The Union expressly reserves its rights to pursue any other cause of action on its own behalf.

4. James G. Breneman, an individual, and Breneman, Inc., a California Corporation (“Defendant”) are employers by virtue of ERISA § 3(5), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(5), and NLRA § 2(2), 29 U.S.C. § 152(2).

Jurisdiction

5. Jurisdiction exists in this Court over the claims asserted by ERISA Plaintiffs by virtue of ERISA § 502, 29 U.S.C. § 1132, in that Plaintiffs seek to enforce the provisions of ERISA and the terms of their plans, seek to enjoin the acts and practices which violate ERISA, seek equitable relief to redress such violations, and seek all other appropriate relief under ERISA.

6. Jurisdiction exists in this Court over all the claims by virtue of LMRA § 301, 29 U.S.C. § 185, in that Plaintiffs seek to enforce the terms and conditions of a valid Bargaining Agreement.

7. To the extent jurisdiction over any claim does not exist under ERISA or the LMRA, supplemental jurisdiction exists in this Court over such claims by virtue of 29 U.S.C. § 1367 in that they arise out of a common nucleus of operative facts that form the basis of the federal claims asserted herein, each of which has a substantial ground in federal jurisdiction.

Venue

8. Venue is conferred upon this Court by ERISA § 502, 29 U.S.C. § 1132. Where an action is brought under ERISA § 502 in a district court of the United States, it may be brought at Plaintiffs' discretion, in the district where the plan is administered, where the breach took place, or where a defendant resides or may be found, and process may be served in any other district where a defendant resides or may be found. ERISA Plaintiffs' Trust Funds are administered in this district at their principal place of business in Alameda, California. Thus, jurisdiction and venue are properly grounded with this Court.

9. Venue exists in this Court with respect to the claims under LMRA § 301(a), 29 U.S.C. § 185, as this Court has jurisdiction over the parties, as the Union maintains its principal place of business in this district, its duly authorized officers or agents are engaged in representing employee members in this district, and the claims arise in this district.

Intradistrict Assignment

10. The basis for assignment of this action to this Court's Oakland Division is that all of the events and omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in the County of Alameda, where ERISA Plaintiffs' Funds and the Bargained Plans are administered, and where Defendants therefore failed to fulfill its statutory and contractual obligations to Plaintiffs.

Bargaining Agreement

11. Defendants entered into the Independent Northern California Construction Agreement (the "Independent Agreement") with the Union, which incorporates the Master Agreement ("Bargaining Agreement") between the Union and the Associated General Contractors of California, Inc., and Northern Alliance of Engineering Contractors. Under the terms of the Independent Agreement, James G. Breneman personally guaranteed all amounts claimed herein. The Independent Agreement and Master Agreement are collectively referred to hereinafter as "Bargaining Agreements." The Bargaining Agreements, which incorporate the terms of the Trust Agreements establishing the Trust Funds ("Trust Agreements"), require Defendants to provide employer contributions to Plaintiffs' Trust Funds, to the Union for union dues, and to other plans more fully described in the Bargaining Agreements. ERISA plaintiffs are third-party beneficiaries of the Bargaining Agreements.

12. Under the terms of the Bargaining Agreement, and Trust Agreements incorporated therein, Defendants are required to pay certain contributions to the Construction Industry Force Account; Contract Administration Fund; Job Placement Center and Market Area Committee Administration Market Preservation Fund; Operating Engineers Industry Stabilization Trust Fund; and Business Development Trust Fund (including the California Alliance for Jobs) (together referred herein as "Bargained Plans"). Plaintiffs' Boards of Trustees are assigned under the Bargaining Agreements to receive and administer monies due to these Bargained Plans.

13. Under the Bargaining Agreement and Trust Agreements, which are incorporated into the Bargaining Agreement and made binding on Defendants, Defendants are required to regularly pay to ERISA Plaintiffs, the Bargained Plans, and the Union, certain sums of money, the amounts of which are determined by the hours worked by Defendants' employees. Contributions are due on the fifteenth

(15th) day of the month following the month in which hours were worked, and are considered delinquent if not received by the twenty-fifth (25th) day of that month. Defendants are also required, pursuant to the Bargaining and Trust Agreements, to pay liquidated damages in the amount of ten percent (10%) for each delinquent contribution, but in the amount of twenty percent (20%) for each delinquent contribution which is the subject of litigation. Moreover, the Bargaining and Trust Agreements provide that interest accrues on delinquent contributions at the rates reasonably set by the Trustees from the date they become delinquent, which is the twenty-sixth (26th) day of the month in which payment was due, until paid in full.

14. The Bargaining and Trust Agreements further require Defendants to maintain time records or time cards, and to permit an authorized Trust Fund representative to examine such records of Defendants as is necessary to determine whether Defendants have made full payment of all sums owed to ERISA Plaintiffs. Should an audit of Defendants' records reveal Defendants have failed to provide full and prompt payment of all sums due to Plaintiffs, Defendants must reimburse Plaintiffs for the amounts due, including audit fees, in addition to any other obligations pursuant to the Bargaining and Trust Agreements.

Factual Allegations

15. Defendants have failed and refused to pay contributions for hours worked by its employees during the month of March 2017, May 2017, June 2017 and July 2017. Liquidated damages and interest have been incurred and are owed to Plaintiffs for the unpaid contributions for the months of March 2017, May 2017, June 2017 and July 2017 as well as those incurred on Defendants' late-paid contributions for the months of February 2017 and April 2017.

16. Additionally, Defendants have failed and refused to comply with an audit of its payroll records for the period of January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2016.

17. Plaintiffs are also entitled to recover any and all other contributions, and all liquidated damages and interest on delinquent contributions not specified above, found due on timecards, audit, or otherwise, including estimated contributions for any months Defendants fail to report to Plaintiffs, through the time of Judgment. Plaintiffs reserve the right to conduct a further audit to determine whether

there are any additional amounts due from Defendants.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
For Audit Compliance, Payment of Delinquent Contributions, Interest, Liquidated Damages,
Attorneys' Fees and Costs Against Defendants

18. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 17, above.

19. Defendants have a contractual duty to timely pay the required contributions to Plaintiffs and the Bargained Plans, and to timely pay dues to the Union, pursuant to the Bargaining Agreement and Trust Agreements. Defendants have a contractual duty under the Bargaining Agreement and Trust Agreements to permit an audit of their records to determine whether they are making full and prompt payment of all sums required to be paid by them to Plaintiffs, and to pay Plaintiffs all amounts found due as a result of an audit, including audit fees.

20. In addition, Defendants have a statutory duty to timely make the required payments to Plaintiffs under ERISA § 515, 29 U.S.C. § 1145, and LMRA § 301(a).

21. By failing to make the required payments to Plaintiffs, Defendants breached the Bargaining and Trust Agreements and are in violation of ERISA § 515, 29 U.S.C. § 1145, and LMRA § 301(a).

22. Defendants' failure and refusal to permit the audit and pay the required contributions was at all times, and still is, willful. Defendants continue to breach the Bargaining Agreement, and incorporated Trust Agreements, by failing to permit the audit and pay all amounts owed as alleged. Said refusal is unjustified and done with knowledge and intent.

23. ERISA Plaintiffs are without an adequate remedy at law and will suffer continuing and irreparable injury, loss and damage unless Defendants are ordered specifically to perform all obligations required on Defendants' part to be performed under ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 1101-1381, the LMRA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 141-197, and the Bargaining and Trust Agreements, and are restrained from continuing to refuse to perform as required thereunder.

24. This Court is authorized to issue injunctive relief based on the traditional standard. As set forth above, ERISA Plaintiffs have a strong likelihood of success on the merits. There is the possibility that ERISA Plaintiffs' Trust Funds and their participants will suffer irreparable injuries. The balance of

hardships and advancement of public interest favor ERISA Plaintiffs.

25. This Complaint does not in any manner relate to statutory withdrawal liability that may or may not be assessed against Defendants. ERISA Plaintiffs expressly reserve the right to pursue any such withdrawal liability claims against Defendant as provided by ERISA Plaintiffs' Plan Documents, Trust Agreements, and the law.

Prayer

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray as follows:

1. For a judgment against Defendants as follows:

(a) Any unpaid contributions, due at time of Judgment, including those specified above as well as any other contributions determined as due by audit, timecards, or otherwise, including estimated contributions for any months Defendants fail to report to Plaintiffs, pursuant to ERISA § 502(g)(2)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(2)(A);

i. To ERISA Plaintiffs and the Bargained Plans, in accordance with ERISA § 502(g)(2)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(2)(A) and the Bargaining Agreement;

ii. To the Union in accordance with the Bargaining Agreement.

(b) Liquidated damages on all late-paid and unpaid contributions in an amount provided for under the Bargaining and Trust Agreements, and with respect to ERISA Plaintiffs, ERISA § 502(g)(2)(c), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(2)(c).

(c) Interest on all late-paid and unpaid contributions at the rates set in accordance with the Bargaining Agreement, the Trust Agreements, and ERISA § 502(g)(2)(B), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(2)(B).

2. Plaintiffs' reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of this action, including any audit fees, in accordance with ERISA § 502(g)(2)(D) and (E), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(2)(D) and (E); and in accordance with the Bargaining Agreement for all Bargained Plans; and with LMRA § 301, 29 U.S.C. § 185, for all Plaintiffs.

3. For an order,

(a) requiring that Defendants comply with its obligations to Plaintiffs under the terms

1 of the Bargaining Agreement and the Trust Agreements;

2 (b) enjoining Defendants from violating the terms of those documents and of ERISA;
3 and,

4 (c) enjoining Defendants from disposing of any assets until said terms have been
5 complied with, and from continuation or operation of Defendants' business until said terms have been
6 complied with.

7 4. That the Court retain jurisdiction of this case pending compliance with its orders.

8 5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

9
10 DATED: September 6, 2017

SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION

11 By: _____/S/
12 George R. Nemiroff
13 Attorneys for Operating Engineers' Health And
14 Welfare Trust Fund for Northern California, et al.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28